

April 8, 2013

Ms. Andrea D. Russell Counsel for the City of Euless Taylor Olson Adkins Sralla Elam, L.L.P. 6000 Western Place, Suite 200 Fort Worth, Texas 76107

OR2013-05577

Dear Ms. Russell:

You ask whether certain information is subject to required public disclosure under the Public Information Act (the "Act"), chapter 552 of the Government Code. Your request was assigned ID# 483274.

The Euless Police Department (the "department"), which you represent, received a request for information pertaining to a specified incident involving a named individual. You state the department will redact information in accordance with Open Records Decision No. 684 (2009) and section 552.130(c) of the Government Code. You claim the remaining submitted information is excepted from disclosure under sections 552.101 and 552.108 of the Government Code. We have considered the exceptions you claim and reviewed the submitted information.

The submitted information relates to a sexual assault. Section 552.101 of the Government Code excepts from disclosure "information considered to be confidential by law, either constitutional, statutory, or by judicial decision." Gov't Code § 552.101. This section encompasses the doctrine of common-law privacy, which protects information if it (1)

¹Open Records Decision No. 684 is a previous determination to all governmental bodies authorizing them to withhold certain categories of information without the necessity of requesting an attorney general decision. See ORD 684. Section 552.130(c) of the Government Code authorizes a governmental body to redact the information described in subsections 552.130(a)(1) and (3) without the necessity of requesting a decision from this office. See Gov't Code § 552.130(c); see also id. § 552.130(d)-(e) (requestor may appeal governmental body's decision to withhold information under section 552.130(c) to attorney general, and governmental body withholding information pursuant to section 552.130(c) must provide notice to requestor). Post Office Box 12548, Austin, Texas 78711-2548 Tel: (512) 463-2100 www.texasattorneygeneral.gov

contains highly intimate or embarrassing facts, the publication of which would be highly objectionable to a reasonable person, and (2) is not of legitimate concern to the public. Indus. Found. v. Tex. Indus. Accident Bd., 540 S.W.2d 668, 685 (Tex. 1976). To demonstrate the applicability of common-law privacy, both prongs of this test must be established. Id. at 681-82. The type of information considered highly intimate or embarrassing by the Texas Supreme Court in Industrial Foundation included information relating to sexual assault, pregnancy, mental or physical abuse in the workplace, illegitimate children, psychiatric treatment of mental disorders, attempted suicide, and injuries to sexual organs. Id. at 683. Generally, only information that either identifies or tends to identify a victim of sexual assault or other sex-related offense must be withheld under common-law privacy. See Open Records Decision Nos. 440 (1986), 393 (1983), 339 (1982). However, a governmental body is required to withhold an entire report when identifying information is inextricably intertwined with other releasable information or when the requestor knows the identity of the alleged victim. See ORD 393, 339; see also ORD 440 (detailed descriptions of serious sexual offenses must be withheld). We note that the requestor in this case knows the identity of the alleged victim. Accordingly, we believe that withholding only identifying information from the requestor would not preserve the victim's common-law right to privacy. We conclude, therefore, that the department must withhold the submitted information in its entirety pursuant to section 552.101 of the Government Code in conjunction with common-law privacy.<sup>2</sup>

This letter ruling is limited to the particular information at issue in this request and limited to the facts as presented to us; therefore, this ruling must not be relied upon as a previous determination regarding any other information or any other circumstances.

This ruling triggers important deadlines regarding the rights and responsibilities of the governmental body and of the requestor. For more information concerning those rights and responsibilities, please visit our website at <a href="http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\_orl.php">http://www.oag.state.tx.us/open/index\_orl.php</a>, or call the Office of the Attorney General's Open Government Hotline, toll free, at (877) 673-6839. Questions concerning the allowable charges for providing public information under the Act must be directed to the Cost Rules Administrator of the Office of the Attorney General, toll free at (888) 672-6787.

Sincerely,

Cynthia G. Tynan

Assistant Attorney General Open Records Division

Cynthia It Tynan

CGT/akg

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>As our ruling is dispositive, we need not address your remaining argument against disclosure.

## Ms. Andrea D. Russell - Page 3

Ref: ID# 483274

Enc. Submitted documents

c:

Requestor (w/o enclosures)